

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/496,506	02/02/2000	Munir H. Nayfeh	1201.63407	6344	
24978	7590 03/01/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			CRANE, SARA W		
300 S WAC 25TH FLOC			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· ·	Advisory Action	09/496,506	NAYFEH ET AL.				
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sara W. Crane	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Theref final re conditi	EPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF fore, further action by the applicant is required to a ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe- nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment whi 	cation. A proper related places the applications and the contractions are contracted as a cont	cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE:						
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4.	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.🖾	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered low or appended.	and an			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to: 2	1					
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>as in the final Office action</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	4-8)					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.	Other:						
			Sara W. Crane Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2811	ne			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/496,506

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See reasons of record. Applicant does not appear to address any of the specific questions asked by the examiner in the previous Office actions. In particular, there appears to be no experimental showing of transistor action, as required by the claim language. Applicant's figure 1 is a two terminal device, and a transistor is a three terminal device, so the device of this figure cannot be said to "model" a transistor. Applicant continues to argue that the Forbes reference is somehow non-enabling, but, as noted previously, the examiner does not agree. This references states specifically that one produces a "uniform size distribution for a particular set of processing conditions," and the examiner interprets this to mean that a uniform size distribution is produced for a particular set of processing conditions. Uniform size means uniform size.